C.F. 72.

# 192 5.

# Department of Lands Mis

**OFFICE** Board of Rev

**STATION** Taiora

No. 2-151

**Dated** 2 [illegible]

| To whom sent | Date | Initial. |
|---|---|---|
| | Given for copying | |
| | Copied | |
| | Examined | |
| | Signed | |
| | Given for despatch | |
| | Despatched 11/5.4 | |
| | Indexed | |
| Pages in the file | To be destroyed on* | |

(SUBJECT.)

Allowance to Cook to Kawara, Kurakal IK — Pachanuttu collector Instructions Nos 290 & 291 — Registered & payment of arrears — Sanctions

*Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

~~E4471~~ ~~B3923~~ B3923

R.O.C.6957-25-B1.

South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, 4th September 1925.

From




G. W. Wells, Esquire, M.A., I.C.S.,
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue & Settlement, Madras.

Sir,

Allowances (tasdik) - Religious Institution Nos. 290 & 291 Ista Devathe and Kodamanthaya Daiva - Puchamogar village - Karkal Taluk (South Kanara) - Readmission - Recommended.

The annual tasdik of Re. 1/- due to religious institution No. 290 "Ista Devathe" has been in arrears since 1911. Up to -- 1916 it was not paid for want of a duly appointed moktessor. In 1916, the present moktessor Ananthaya Shetti was appointed but he applied for the payment of the arrears only in 1918. Then ---- again the tasdik was not paid as, in that year (1918), another -- institution (Kodamanthaya Daiva) where the annual jatra of this institution (Ista Devathe) ld, fell into disrepairs. The Tahsildar of Karkal Taluk now reports that the building of Kodamanthaya Daiva has been renewed and recommends that the ---- Institution (Ista Devathe) may be readmitted and all the arrears paid. I do not see how the arrears from 1911 can be paid. The claim for the payment of the arrears was admittedly received in 1918. The explanation offered for the delay in prefering the claim till then is that the Jain Committee to which the institution of Ista Devathe belongs was incomplete from 1912 to 1914, -- that the sanad was applied for in 1915 and obtained in 1916, that the moktessor Ananthaya Shetti fell ill in 1916 and 1917 and that the payment of the arrears could, therefore, be applied for only in -- 1918. In the first place, there is no definite evidence to show that the Jain Committee was incomplete from 1912 to 1914. It -- cannot also be supposed that the moktessor Ananthaya Shetti was --

ill during the whole period of two years (1916 & 1917). I, therefore, think that the explanation for not preferring the claim till 1918 is not satisfactory. It is, however, reported that the institution of Ista Devathe has been in repairs and the services have been regularly rendered during the period, the allowance was left undrawn. I, therefore, recommend that the institution may be readmitted and the arrears paid for the last six years only i.e., from fasli 1329.

2. Institution No. 291, Kodamanthaya Daiva. This institution is also under the same moktessor Ananthaya Shetti referred to above, and the annual tasdik of Rs. 8/- due to it has also been in arrears since 1911. Up to 1918, the allowance was not paid for the reasons stated in para 1 supra. After 1918, the institution itself fell into disrepairs. The Tahsildar of Karkal Taluk now reports that the building was renewed last year and that the services have been properly rendered during the period the allowance was left undrawn and recommends that the institution may be readmitted with arrears from 1911; but I do not think that the explanation for not claiming the allowance till 1918 can be accepted for the reasons set forth in para 1. I, therefore, recommend that this institution may be readmitted and the payment of the allowance sanctioned with effect from the current fasli.

Your obedient servant,

For Collector.

T.M.D./P.4.9.25.

Subject.— 3

R. F. XXII-A-19.—18,003—12-12-24.

FOR MEMBER

Allowance G., Taluk (S. Kanara)
Kasaragod Taluk Puchamugar
village — Restoration
Nos 290 & 291 —

READ AGAIN B.P No. _____ dated Nos 290 & 291 — 192 Restoration
" " " _____ " " payment of Arrears — 192 Salaries

READ ALSO :—

Register No. _____, from the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 192

B 3923/25

" " _____ " " _____, _____, _____ 192

" " _____ " " _____, _____, _____ 192

RESOLUTION. (Fin) No. 2157, dated 2-10- 192.

The Govt proposal to restoration Nos 290 & 291 of Kasaragod Taluk as approved for the last 6 years — subject to the deductions prescribed in note (3) to B.S.O 67-2 — will be paid in respect of institution No. 290. and the allowance paid for the current year

claims for the payment of allowances to

p. 168 B.S.O Vol I

To

The Collr of S. Kanara for information No 29

29.9.25 task

(Fo)

30/9

4

A-5(1)(2)
-5
1923

Allowances, Taluk (S. Kanara) - Karkal TK - Puchamogas Village - Ista Deva the Kodamanthaya Daiva - Arrears

B 3923/25

To

The Asst Secretary

There are two institutions; (1) Ista Devatha and (2) Kodamanthaya Daiva.

As the payment of the allowances has not been drawn for more than 6 years (i.e from 1911 onwards) the items should have been struck off the register under B.S.O 67-1-(7).

p. 168 - vol i.

The Coll's letter shows that the failure to apply for payment arose from neglect. If so the claim should not be readmitted. The Coll however recommends readmission in both the cases - arrears be paid for 6 years in the case of the 1st and payment be made for the current fasli in the case of the 2nd institution.

B.S.67.1.(7)
p. 168 - vol i.

For orders whether the Coll's recommendation may be approved or

equitable grounds and arrears in the case of the 1st paid subject to the deductions prescribed in note 3. to B. 67. (2)

B168. vol I

Upto Mo

Sorry

Dola devatte ... Though the tasdik has not been claimed in the past, it is reported that the institution has been in repair & the services regularly rendered - As a matter of equity, the arrears for the past six years may be granted subject to the usual deductions indicated in BSO 67 - para 2 - note (3). page 168 vol I

Kodamantaya Dawa - The building was renewed only last year, though acc to the Tahs arrears continue to be rendered regularly. Arrears may be paid from the current year's assessment by the Coll

21-9-3

It is not absolutely clear that the failure to apply for payment was entirely a case of neglect. There appears to have been disability also though not undue degree of neglect. In the circumstances the claims may be readmitted on the terms suggested by the Coll.

22/9.

28/9/25

AS (LR)
Draft Subm